

Quantum phase shift and neutrino oscillations in a stationary, weak gravitational field

Bernard Linet*

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique, CNRS/UMR 6083,
Université François Rabelais, F-37200 Tours, France*

Pierre Teyssandier†

*Département Systèmes de Référence Temps Espace, CNRS/UMR 8630,
Observatoire de Paris, 61 avenue de l'Observatoire, F-75014 Paris, France*

(Dated: February 7, 2008)

We develop a new method for determining the gravitationally induced quantum phase shift for a particle propagating in a stationary weak gravitational field, in the framework of the linear approximation. This method is based on the properties of the Synge's world-function. The result is applied to the neutrino oscillations in the field of an isolated, axisymmetric rotating body. The gravitational field is described using the linearized part of the post-Newtonian metric yielded by the standard Nordtvedt-Will formalism. An explicit calculation of the phase shift is performed for neutrinos produced inside a homogeneous nearly spherical rotating body. Our general formulae are valid even for nonradial propagations. The gravitational effects found here involve only the PPN parameter γ .

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last few years, a series of papers has been devoted to the effects of gravitation on the neutrino oscillation phases (see Refs. [1, 2, 3, 4] and references therein). As far as we know, all the proposed derivations of the neutrino oscillation formula within the WKB approximation use the explicit determination of the timelike geodesics corresponding to freely falling particles. For this reason, even in the simple case of the Schwarzschild metric treated in the linear approximation, the calculations become heavy for nonradial propagations and the results remain limited to the case where the neutrinos are created outside the matter generating the gravitational field. Our purpose in the present paper is to provide a method based on the world-function developed by Synge [5], which does not require the determination of the geodesics. This method, which has recently proved its worth for electromagnetic signals [6], can be easily applied to neutrinos and more generally to all kinds of particles, as long as we may ignore the spin contributions [7].

We begin by giving a derivation of the quantum mechanical phase valid for any particle freely propagating in a given stationary, weak gravitational field. We determine both the travel time and the accumulated phase between two points of space-time in the limit of the semi-classical approximation, where the particle is assumed to follow its classical path. Next we apply the results to the neutrino oscillation phases. We adopt the general assumptions of Bhattacharya *et al.* [3] concerning the interference of two mass eigenstates observed at the same point of space-time. For applications, we consider the linear terms in G of the post-Newtonian metric given by the standard Nordtvedt-Will formalism [8]. This kind of approximation enables us to carry out the calculations for neutrinos travelling across the gravitational field of an isolated, axisymmetric rotating body. We obtain explicit formulae for a homogeneous, nearly spherical rotating body, including the case of nonradial propagations.

In this paper, G is the Newtonian gravitational constant and c is the speed of light in a vacuum. We suppose that space-time is covered by a global quasi Cartesian coordinates system (x^μ) . We put $x^0 = ct$, t being a time coordinate and we use the notation $\mathbf{x} = (x^i)$. The signature adopted for the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ is $(+ - - -)$.

*Electronic address: linet@celfi.phys.univ-tours.fr

†Electronic address: Pierre.Teyssandier@obspm.fr

II. PHASE SHIFT IN A WEAK STATIONARY GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

A. Phase shift and world-function

Let us consider a free particle with proper mass m propagating from a point x_A to a point x_B . The quantum mechanical phase of this particle accumulated between x_A and x_B is given by

$$\Phi_{AB} = \frac{mc}{\hbar} s_{AB}, \quad (1)$$

where s_{AB} is the path length of the timelike geodesic Γ_{AB} joining x_A and x_B [9]. Denoting by λ the unique affine parameter along Γ_{AB} which satisfies the boundary conditions $\lambda_A = 0$ and $\lambda_B = 1$, we can write

$$s_{AB}^2 = 2\Omega(x_A, x_B), \quad (2)$$

where $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ is the so-called world-function, defined as

$$\Omega(x_A, x_B) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 g_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha(\lambda)) \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} d\lambda, \quad (3)$$

the integral being taken over Γ_{AB} . Consequently, the accumulated phase Φ_{AB} may be written as

$$\Phi_{AB} = \frac{mc}{\hbar} \sqrt{2\Omega(x_A, x_B)}. \quad (4)$$

It follows from Eq. (4) that the knowledge of the world-function corresponding to a given metric enables to determine the accumulated phase Φ_{AB} . In addition, the world-function enables to perform the calculation of the energy-momentum vector $p^\alpha = mc u^\alpha$ of the particle at x_A and x_B (u^α denotes the unit tangent vector dx^α/ds along Γ_{AB}). Indeed, it is shown in Ref. [5] that the covariant components of u at x_A and x_B are given by the relations

$$(u_\alpha)_A = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Omega(x_A, x_B)}} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_A^\alpha}, \quad (u_\alpha)_B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Omega(x_A, x_B)}} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_B^\alpha}. \quad (5)$$

These relations are very useful, especially in the case where the metric does not depend on one or several coordinates, as we shall see in the next subsection.

B. Phase shift in a stationary space-time

Throughout this paper, we restrict our attention to stationary gravitational fields and we choose x^0 so that $g_{\mu\nu}$ does not depend on x^0 . The world-function has then the form $\Omega(x_B^0 - x_A^0, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ and the component u_0 of the tangent vector u^μ to the classical trajectory is a constant of the motion, i.e.

$$u_0 = E/mc^2, \quad E = \text{const.} \quad (6)$$

When the particle is passing through a point $\mathbf{x}$, the local energy as measured by a stationary observer staying at $\mathbf{x}$ is $E_{loc}(\mathbf{x}) = E/\sqrt{g_{00}(\mathbf{x})}$, so the constant E may be considered as the asymptotic energy of the particle at spatial infinity. It follows from Eqs. (5) that

$$u_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\Omega(x_B^0 - x_A^0, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)}} \frac{\partial \Omega(x_B^0 - x_A^0, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)}{\partial (x_B^0 - x_A^0)} = \frac{E}{mc^2}. \quad (7)$$

Eliminating $2\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ between Eq. (4) and Eq. (7), we obtain

$$\Phi_{AB} = \frac{m^2 c^3}{\hbar E} \frac{\partial \Omega(x_B^0 - x_A^0, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)}{\partial (x_B^0 - x_A^0)}. \quad (8)$$

Then, eliminating $x_B^0 - x_A^0$ between Eqs. (7) and (8), it will be possible – at least in principle – to carry out the calculation of the accumulated phase Φ_{AB} as a function of $\mathbf{x}_A$, $\mathbf{x}_B$ and E .

C. Linear weak field approximation

For subsequent applications, we suppose that the gravitational field is weak. So, the metric may be written in the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (9)$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ and where the quantities $h_{\mu\nu}$ are considered as perturbation terms of the first order in the Newtonian constant G . The world-function $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ may be expanded in powers of the gravitational constant [5]

$$\Omega(x_A, x_B) = \Omega^{(0)}(x_A, x_B) + \Omega^{(1)}(x_A, x_B) + O(h^2), \quad (10)$$

where $\Omega^{(0)}(x_A, x_B)$ is the world-function in Minkowski space-time

$$\Omega^{(0)}(x_A, x_B) = \frac{1}{2} [(x_B^0 - x_A^0)^2 - R_{AB}^2], \quad (11)$$

with $R_{AB} = |\mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{x}_A|$, and $\Omega^{(1)}(x_A, x_B)$ is given by

$$\Omega^{(1)}(x_A, x_B) = \frac{1}{2} (x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \int_0^1 h_{\mu\nu}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda, \quad (12)$$

the integration being performed along the path of parametrized equations $x_{(0)}(\lambda) = (x_B - x_A)\lambda + x_A$. Since space-time is assumed to be stationary, Eqs. (10), (11) and (12) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(x_A, x_B) &= \frac{1}{2} (x_B^0 - x_A^0)^2 [1 + 2A(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)] + (x_B^0 - x_A^0) R_{AB} B(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} R_{AB}^2 [1 - 2C(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)] + O(h^2), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

in which we have set

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 h_{00}(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda, \\ B(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) &= \frac{(x_B^i - x_A^i)}{R_{AB}} \int_0^1 h_{0i}(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda, \\ C(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) &= \frac{(x_B^i - x_A^i)(x_B^j - x_A^j)}{2R_{AB}^2} \int_0^1 h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

with

$$\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda) = (\mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{x}_A)\lambda + \mathbf{x}_A. \quad (15)$$

For the sake of brevity, we shall henceforth omit the symbol $O(h^2)$ standing for the post-post-Minkowskian terms. Differentiating the r.h.s. of Eq. (13) with respect to $x_B^0 - x_A^0$ and taking Eq. (8) into account, we obtain for Φ_{AB}

$$\Phi_{AB} = \frac{m^2 c^3}{\hbar E} [(x_B^0 - x_A^0) (1 + 2A(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)) + R_{AB} B(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)]. \quad (16)$$

Now, substituting for Ω from its expression (13) into Eq. (7) and solving the equation thus obtained for $x_B^0 - x_A^0$, we find

$$x_B^0 - x_A^0 = R_{AB} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{m^2 c^4}{E^2}}} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{m^2 c^4}{E^2 - m^2 c^4} \right) A(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) - C(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \right] - B(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \right\}. \quad (17)$$

Finally, inserting (17) into (16), we get an expression for Φ_{AB} as follows

$$\Phi_{AB} = \frac{R_{AB}}{\hbar} \frac{m^2 c^3}{\sqrt{E^2 - m^2 c^4}} \left[1 + \frac{E^2}{E^2 - m^2 c^4} A(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) - C(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \right]. \quad (18)$$

The formulae (17) and (18) giving respectively the time delay and the accumulated phase between x_A and x_B are valid whatever the speed of the particle.

III. NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS IN THE FIELD OF AN ISOLATED, AXISYMMETRIC ROTATING BODY

A. General formulae

Let us apply the previous results to the neutrino oscillation phases. We suppose that the neutrinos are produced at fixed local energy E_{loc} in a weak flavour eigenstate which is a linear superposition of two mass eigenstates m_1 and m_2 , with $m_2 < m_1$. Moreover, we suppose that the source of neutrinos is located at a fixed point $\mathbf{x}_A$ and that the interference is observed at the same final space-time point $(x_B^0, \mathbf{x}_B)$. As a consequence, the eigenstates of masses m_1 and m_2 are respectively emitted at the times $(x_A^0)_1$ and $(x_A^0)_2$, with $(x_A^0)_1 < (x_A^0)_2$. It is generally considered [3] that the phase difference $\Delta\Phi = \Phi_2 - \Phi_1$ between the two mass eigenstates at the point x_B is given by

$$\Delta\Phi = \frac{E}{\hbar c} [(x_A^0)_2 - (x_A^0)_1] + (\Phi_{AB})_2 - (\Phi_{AB})_1, \quad (19)$$

which can be rewritten in the form

$$\Delta\Phi = \frac{E}{\hbar c} [x_B^0 - (x_A^0)_1 - (x_B^0 - (x_A^0)_2)] + (\Phi_{AB})_2 - (\Phi_{AB})_1. \quad (20)$$

Using (17) and (18), it is easily seen that the phase difference $\Delta\Phi$ is given by

$$\Delta\Phi = -\frac{R_{AB}}{\hbar} \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)c^3}{\sqrt{E^2 - m_1^2 c^4} + \sqrt{E^2 - m_2^2 c^4}} \left[1 + \frac{E^2}{\sqrt{E^2 - m_1^2 c^4} \sqrt{E^2 - m_2^2 c^4}} A(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) - C(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \right]. \quad (21)$$

We note that the quantity $B(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ does not appear in expression (21). According to (14), this means that the components g_{0i} of the metric do not contribute to the phase difference $\Delta\Phi$ in the limit of the weak-field, linearized approximation.

We can apply the formula (21) when the stationary field is treated in the slow-motion, post-Newtonian limit of metric theories of gravity, provided only the metric perturbations of order $1/c^2$ and $1/c^3$ are taken into account. We use the Nordtvedt-Will PPN formalism and we suppose that the coordinates (x^μ) are a standard post-Newtonian gauge [8]. Since the potentials h_{0i} do not influence the phase oscillations, the only relevant potentials are then

$$h_{00} = -\frac{2}{c^2}U + O(4), \quad h_{ij} = -\frac{2\gamma}{c^2}U\delta_{ij} + O(4), \quad (22)$$

where U is the gravitational potential. From Eqs. (14), we deduce

$$A(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = -\frac{1}{c^2} \int_0^1 U(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda, \quad C(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = -\frac{\gamma}{c^2} \int_0^1 U(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda, \quad (23)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda)$ is given by (15). Substituting for $A(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ and $C(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ from Eqs. (23) into Eq. (21), we obtain the general formula for the phase difference

$$\Delta\Phi = -\frac{R_{AB}}{\hbar} \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)c^3}{\sqrt{E^2 - m_1^2 c^4} + \sqrt{E^2 - m_2^2 c^4}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{c^2} \left[\gamma - \frac{E^2}{\sqrt{E^2 - m_1^2 c^4} \sqrt{E^2 - m_2^2 c^4}} \right] \int_0^1 U(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda \right\}. \quad (24)$$

This formula may be applied to neutrinos propagating in the field of an isolated, axisymmetric rotating body. It is immediately seen that the angular momentum of the rotating body has no influence on the neutrino oscillations in the weak-field limit and that there exists no coupling with the velocity $\mathbf{v}_r$ of the center of mass of the body relative to the universe rest frame. This last feature is natural since γ is the only PPN parameter involved in the expression of $\Delta\Phi$.

Specializing to the case where $m_1 c^2 \ll E$ and $m_2 c^2 \ll E$ and expanding the formula (24) in series of powers of $m_1 c^2/E$ and of $m_2 c^2/E$, we find

$$\Delta\Phi = -\frac{R_{AB}}{2\hbar} \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)c^3}{E} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{c^2} \int_0^1 U(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda + \frac{(m_1^2 + m_2^2)c^4}{4E^2} \left[1 + \frac{\gamma - 3}{c^2} \int_0^1 U(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda \right] + \dots \right\}. \quad (25)$$

This development shows that in general relativity, there is no contribution of the Newtonian potential U up to the order $m_1^2 - m_2^2$ since $\gamma = 1$. This conclusion holds equally well for a propagation inside the body as for a propagation outside the body. Thus we significantly extend a result previously obtained for the exterior Schwarzschild metric [2, 3].

B. Neutrinos in the field of a nearly spherically symmetric rotating body

According to (24) and (25), an explicit calculation of the phase difference $\Delta\Phi$ requires only to perform the integration of the Newtonian potential U along the line defined by (15). As an example, we may consider the gravitational field generated by an isolated, nearly spherically symmetric rotating body. For the sake of brevity, we neglect the multipole structure of the gravitational field, which means that the body is characterized by its mass M and by an external radius r_0 . We shall examine two cases.

The geodesic path joining $\mathbf{x}_A$ and $\mathbf{x}_B$ is entirely outside the body. In this case, we have $U(r) = GM/r$, which yields [6, 11]

$$\int_0^1 U(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda))d\lambda = \frac{GM}{R_{AB}} \ln \frac{r_A + r_B + R_{AB}}{r_A + r_B - R_{AB}}, \quad (26)$$

where $r_A = |\mathbf{x}_A|$ and $r_B = |\mathbf{x}_B|$.

Substituting (26) into (25) yields the explicit expression of the phase difference for nonradial geodesics outside the body. For radial geodesics, the formula (26) reduces to

$$\int_0^1 U(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda))d\lambda = \frac{GM}{r_B - r_A} \ln \frac{r_B}{r_A}. \quad (27)$$

Inserting (27) into (25) and putting $\gamma = 1$, we recover up to the order $m_1^4 - m_2^4$ a result previously given in Ref. [10] for the radial geodesics in the Schwarzschild metric (this effect was also calculated by the authors of Ref. [3], but the terms of order $m_1^4 - m_2^4$ in their Eq. (13) must be divided by 2). More generally, substituting $(1 + \omega)/(2 + \omega)$ for γ into (25), we get the expression of $\Delta\Phi$ within the frame of the Bergmann-Wagoner tensor-scalar theories of gravity (see, e.g., [8] and references therein). Thus we extend a result previously found [12] at the order $m_1^2 - m_2^2$ for radial geodesics.

The point of emission $\mathbf{x}_A$ is located inside the massive body and the point of reception $\mathbf{x}_B$ is located outside. In order to simplify the evaluation of the integrals in the r.h.s. of (25), it is useful to introduce the new affine parameter $\bar{\lambda}$ defined by

$$\bar{\lambda} = \lambda + \frac{r_B^2 - r_A^2 - R_{AB}^2}{2R_{AB}^2}, \quad (28)$$

the range of $\bar{\lambda}$ being $\bar{\lambda}_A \leq \bar{\lambda} \leq \bar{\lambda}_B$, with

$$\bar{\lambda}_A = \frac{r_B^2 - r_A^2 - R_{AB}^2}{2R_{AB}^2}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_B = \frac{r_B^2 - r_A^2 + R_{AB}^2}{2R_{AB}^2}. \quad (29)$$

It is easily seen that the value $\bar{\lambda}_0$ corresponding to the intersection of the segment connecting $\mathbf{x}_A$ and $\mathbf{x}_B$ with the sphere $r = r_0$ is given by

$$\bar{\lambda}_0 = \frac{\sqrt{r_0^2 - r_p^2}}{R_{AB}} \quad (30)$$

where r_p is the impact parameter determined by

$$r_p^2 = \frac{r_A^2 r_B^2 - (\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B)^2}{R_{AB}^2} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (r_A^2 + r_B^2) - \frac{1}{4} R_{AB}^2 - \frac{(r_B^2 - r_A^2)^2}{4R_{AB}^2}. \quad (31)$$

We have

$$\int_0^1 U(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda))d\lambda = \int_{\bar{\lambda}_A}^{\bar{\lambda}_0} U_i(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\bar{\lambda}))d\bar{\lambda} + \int_{\bar{\lambda}_0}^{\bar{\lambda}_B} \frac{GM}{|\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\bar{\lambda})|}d\bar{\lambda}, \quad (32)$$

where U_i is the potential inside the body. This relation holds for any mass density law compatible with the spherical symmetry. For the sake of simplicity, let us assume that the body is homogeneous. Then, U_i is given by

$$U_i(r) = \frac{GM}{2r_0} \left(3 - \frac{r^2}{r_0^2} \right), \quad 0 \leq r < r_0, \quad (33)$$

and a straightforward calculation yields

$$\int_0^1 U(\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda))d\lambda = \frac{GM}{R_{AB}} \left[\frac{\sqrt{r_0^2 - r_p^2} - \epsilon \sqrt{r_A^2 - r_p^2}}{r_0} \left(\frac{4}{3} - \frac{r_A^2 + r_p^2 + \epsilon \sqrt{r_0^2 - r_p^2} \sqrt{r_A^2 - r_p^2}}{6r_0^2} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{r_B + \frac{R_{AB}}{2} + \frac{r_B^2 - r_A^2}{2R_{AB}}}{r_0 + \sqrt{r_0^2 - r_p^2}} \right) \right], \quad (34)$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ if $R_{AB}^2 < r_B^2 - r_p^2$ and $\epsilon = -1$ if $R_{AB}^2 > r_B^2 - r_p^2$ (if $R_{AB}^2 = r_B^2 - r_p^2$, then $r_A^2 - r_p^2 = 0$ and all terms containing ϵ as a factor vanish).

IV. CONCLUSION

It is clear that the world-function constitutes a very powerful tool for finding the quantum phase shift for particles freely propagating in a stationary, weak gravitational field. The method works equally well for propagations inside matter as for propagations outside matter, provided the spin effects may be neglected.

Using this method, we have obtained a set of formulae giving the gravitationally induced neutrino oscillations in the field of an isolated, axisymmetric rotating body. The Newtonian potential is the only gravitational quantity occurring in these formulae, which implies that the neutrino oscillations are not influenced by the internal angular momentum of the source of the gravitational field.

Owing to the simplicity of the method, it has been possible to perform explicit calculations for neutrinos propagating in the field of a homogeneous, nearly spherically symmetric rotating body. Let us emphasize that these calculations are straightforward even for neutrinos emitted inside the body and travelling along nonradial geodesics.

-
- [1] D. V. Ahluwalia and C. Burgard, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **28**, 1161 (1996); D. V. Ahluwalia and C. Burgard, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 4724 (1998).
 - [2] N. Fornengo, C. Giunti, C. W. Kim, and J. Song, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 1895 (1997).
 - [3] T. Bhattacharya, S. Habib, and E. Mottola, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 067301 (1999).
 - [4] J. Wudka, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 065009 (2001).
 - [5] J. L. Synge, *Relativity: The General Theory* (North-Holland, 1964).
 - [6] B. Linet and P. Teyssandier, *Phys. Rev. D* *to appear*. Preprint gr-qc/0204009.
 - [7] Note that the gravitational contributions to the spin precession vanish in spherically symmetric, Schwarzschild space-time; see, e.g., D. Píriz, M. Roy, and J. Wudka, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 1587 (1996); see also C. Y. Cardall and G. M. Fuller, *ibid.* **55**, 7960 (1997).
 - [8] C. M. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics* (Cambridge University Press, 1981).
 - [9] L. Stodolsky, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **11**, 391 (1979).
 - [10] G. Papini, in *Advances in the Interplay between Quantum and Gravity Physics, Proc. of the NATO Advanced Study Institute* (Erice, 2001), edited by P. G. Bergmann and V. Sabbata (Kluwer, 2002); preprint gr-qc/0110056.
 - [11] H. A. Buchdahl, *Austral. J. Phys.* **32**, 405 (1979).
 - [12] S. Capozziello and G. Lambiase, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **14**, 2193 (1999).